UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,879	03/10/2004	Mel R. Beulke	1001.1738101	5083
28075 CROMPTON	7590 06/28/2007 SEAGER & TUFTE, LL	٠	EXAM	INER
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			EREZO, DARWIN P	
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55403-2420		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/797,879	BEULKE, MEL R.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Darwin P. Erezo	3731
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communicate or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
WHI - Ext afte - If N - Fai Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION OF	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on	
2a)		☐ This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice to		
Disposi	tion of Claims		•
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version [Instruction of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and the apple are subject to restriction are subject to restriction and the apple are subject to restriction and the apple are subject to restriction are subject to restriction and the apple are subject to restriction and the apple are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subject to restriction.	withdrawn from consideration.	
Applica	tion Papers	•	
10)	The specification is objected to by the End The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to not on the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing.	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority doc Certified copies of the priority doc Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachme	nt(s)		
1) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· 	Summary (PTO-413)
3) 🔲 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/797,879 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - 1. Claims 1-21, drawn to a filter, classified in class 606, subclass 200.
 - Claims 22-27, drawn to a method of manufacturing a filter, classified in class 246, subclass 139.
 - III. Claims 28-31, drawn to a process of using the filter, classified in class 128, subclass 898. It is noted that claim 27 is listed twice in the claims. Therefore, there are a total of 31 claims, instead of 30.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the device as evidenced in claim 1 can be made with a static mold.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the device

Application/Control Number: 10/797,879

Art Unit: 3731

as evidenced in claim 1 can be removed by inserting a retrieval sheath into the vascular system.

Page 3

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Several telephone calls were made to Glenn Seager to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,879 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Darwin P. Erezo/ Examiner Art Unit 3731

de